UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/784,660	02/15/2001	M. Salahuddin Khan	N0084 US	2233	
	37583 7590 05/29/2009 NAVTEQ NORTH AMERICA, LLC			EXAMINER	
425 West RAN	DOLPH STREET		ARMSTRONG, ANGELA A		
SUITE 1200, PATENT DEPT CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2626		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/29/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/784,660	KHAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ANGELA A. ARMSTRONG	2626
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DESTRICTION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 F This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18,20 and 21 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18,20 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the option of the specific part of the specific	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed February 24, 2009, amending claim 20. Currently claims 1-18 and 20-21 are pending.

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. The indicated allowability of claims 1-18 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Tachimori (US Patent No. 6,718,304). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because they merely manipulate an abstract idea without a claimed limitation to a practical application. The claimed invention, a series of steps to be performed on a computer, simply manipulates an abstract idea without a claimed limitation to the practical application, where practical application may be shown by a) physical transformation or b) a useful, concrete and tangible result.

Art Unit: 2626

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

5. Claims 1-5, 7, 14-15, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wakisaka (US Patent No. 6,112,174) in view of Tachimori (US Patent No. 6,718,304) and further in view of Nakaya (PAJ 2000-074685).

Wakisaka discloses a recognition dictionary system structure and changeover method of speech recognition system for car navigation. Regarding claims 1, 7, 14, and 21, Wakisaka discloses a method of providing automatic speech recognition in a navigation system (col. 3, lines 19-23) comprising: determining a current position of a vehicle in which the navigation system is installed (col. 5, lines 9-34) and forming a new speech recognition list by adding names of geographic features located in proximity to the current position of the vehicle (Figures 3A and 3B; col. 6, line 57 to col. 7, line 7). Wakisaka teaches changing the dictionary based on the location of the vehicle but fails to teach the change is based on the distance exceeding a threshold. Tachimori teaches determining whether a distance from the current position of the vehicle to another position associated with a previous a speech recognition word list exceeds a threshold (col.8, lines 39-45). It would have been obvious to implement the teachings of Tachimori in the system of Wakisaka, since determining whether a distance from a current position to another position of a different recognition word list exceeds a threshold is a known technique used in a speech recognition navigation system for improving the word list updates/changes processing in navigation systems. Wakisaka and Tachimori does not teach, forming a new speech recognition word list by adding names of geographic features located in

Art Unit: 2626

proximity to the current position of the vehicle to a plurality of words that correspond to a collection of geographic features selected without regard to proximity to the current position of the vehicle. Nakaya teaches a retrieval method for a mobile unit in a navigation system, in which names only of nationally noted places and facilities are stored in a partial data base for remote areas from the position of its own vehicle and names of places and facilities of low degree of note or the name of intersections are stored additionally in the partial data base for the peripheral areas. Data to be stored in the partial data base is updated by a data updating means depending on the positional variation of its own vehicle. This would have made it obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art of speech signal processing at the time of the invention to apply the method/teachings of Nakaya to the device/method of Wakisaka et al for the purpose of improving recognition rate, as suggested by Nakaya.

- 6. Regarding claim 2, the combination of Wakisaka, Tachimori and Nakaya teaches the speech recognition word list contains a subset of all available names for geographic features located in a geographic area represented by a geographic database (col.5, lines 44-56).
- 7. Regarding claim 3, the combination of Wakisaka, Tachimori and Nakaya teaches determining a location associated with the new speech recognition word list (col.5, lines 9-56).
- 8. Regarding claims 4, 8, and 15, the combination of Wakisaka, Tachimori and Nakaya teaches the plurality of words that correspond to the collection of geographic features selected without regard to proximity to the current position of the vehicle include popular or important destinations (Nakaya's nationally noted places and facilities).

Art Unit: 2626

9. Regarding claim 5, the combination of Wakisaka, Tachimori and Nakaya teaches continuing to determine the current position of the vehicle as the vehicle travels along roads in a geographic area (Col.5, lines 9-56; col. 6, line 57 to col. 7, line 7; Figures 3A, 3B).

10. Claims 6, 9-13, and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wakisaka (US Patent No. 6,112,174) in view of Tachimori (US Patent No. 6,718,304).

Wakisaka discloses a recognition dictionary system structure and changeover method of speech recognition system for car navigation. Regarding claims 6, 12, and 20, Wakisaka discloses a method of providing automatic speech recognition (via a speech recognition system) in a navigation system (col. 3, lines19-23) comprising: determining a current position of a vehicle in which the navigation system is installed (col. 5, lines 9-34) and forming a new speech recognition list (via a software program in the CPU or microcomputer 703) by adding names of geographic features located in proximity to the current position of the vehicle (Figures 3A and 3B; col. 6, line 57 to col. 7, line 7). Wakisaka teaches changing the dictionary based on the location of the vehicle but fails to teach the change is based on the distance exceeding a threshold. Tachimori teaches determining whether a distance from the current position of the vehicle to another position associated with a previous a speech recognition word list exceeds a threshold (col.8, lines 39-45). It would have been obvious to implement the teachings of Tachimori in the system of Wakisaka, since determining whether a distance from a current position to another position of a different recognition word list exceeds a threshold is a known technique used in a speech recognition navigation system for improving the word list updates/changes processing in navigation systems.

Art Unit: 2626

11. Regarding claims 9 and 13, the combination of Wakisaka and Tachimori teaches the speech recognition word list contains a subset of all available names for geographic features located in a geographic area represented by a geographic database (col.5, lines 44-56).

- 12. Regarding claim 10, the combination of Wakisaka and Tachimori teaches continuing to determine the current position of the vehicle as the vehicle travels along roads in a geographic area (Col.5, lines 9-56; col. 6, line 57 to col. 7, line 7; Figures 3A, 3B).
- 13. Regarding claim 11, the combination of Wakisaka and Tachimori teaches determining a location associated with the new speech recognition word list (col.5, lines 9-56).
- 14. Regarding claims 16-18, the combination of Wakisaka and Tachimori teaches a geographic database (Figure 3B) and a spatial name index (Figures 3, 7; col. 9, lines 6-21).

Response to Arguments

15. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims1-18 and 20-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANGELA A. ARMSTRONG whose telephone number is (571)272-7598. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 11:30-8:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on 571-272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Angela A Armstrong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2626